

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,494	10/16/2003	Marc Husemann	tesa AG 1615-WCG	9053
27386	7590 09/07/2006		EXAMINER	
•	ICLAUGHLIN & MA	LIPMAN, BERNARD		
875 THIRD A	- · <del>-</del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10022			1713	
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				· //		
	Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		37,494	HUSEMANN ET	AL.		
		iner	Art Unit			
		ard Lipman	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this comp Period for Reply	munication appears or	the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TH  - Extensions of time may be available under the provi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximus  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704.	E MAILING DATE OF sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a communication.  If the statutory period will apply a reply will, by statute, cause the other safter the mailing date of the safter the	F THIS COMMUN no event, however, may a and will expire SIX (6) MC e application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ARANDONED (35 LLS C. 6.133)			
Status						
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in conditional closed in accordance with the present the conditional conditions.</li> </ol>	2b)⊠ This action tion for allowance exc	is non-final. cept for formal ma		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7 and 8 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s)  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7 and 8 is/are rejec 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to solution are subject to re  Application Papers	is/are withdrawn from ted. o.	n consideration.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ Applicant may not request that any of Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu 11) The oath or declaration is objected.	objection to the drawing ding the correction is re	(s) be held in abeya equired if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)		<b>.</b> □				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviets</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)		

Art Unit: 1713

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Everaerts et al, WO 00/39233 and in view of Remmers et al, U. S. Patent 6,430,898.

Claims are rejected for reasons of record. Reference to Everaerts et al teaches pressure sensitive hot-melt adhesive compositions as claimed by applicants with the product formed between two substrates into a layer. The interpretation of claimed "moulded product" is considered open to this layer of material even though it is not formed by the methods of moulding specified. This is true since the "product" without specified form or dimensions reads on the product of other forms of "moulding".

Alternatively, reference to Remmers et al teaches forming "bricks" of pressure sensitive hot-melt adhesive compositions as seen in column 3. This is done in a mold and surely reads on the "moulded product" as claimed. It would be prima facie obvious to treat the pressure sensitive hot-melt adhesive compositions of Everaerts et al this way for packaging purposes, which would result in the claimed products. The adhesive properties of the products would be reasonably presumed to be the same absent evidence to the contrary, In re Fitzgerald Et AI, 205 USPQ 594.

Applicants have argued that some of the required moulding techniques of the claims imply structure to the resultant moulded product. This may be true for injection moulded products (although it is, in the examiner's opinion not definitive), but it is certainly not true for blow moulding, which is routinely used to make films of no distinctive shape. The claims, therefore, continue to read on mere film material or "bricks", and the rejection remains proper.

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard Lipman whose telephone number is 571-272-1105. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bernard Lipman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

BL/hs